



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/855,971	05/15/2001	Takao Omachi	NEC N01268	1601		
27667	7590 08/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HAYES, SOLOWAY P.C.			LAYE, J	LAYE, JADE O		
130 W. CUSHING STREET TUCSON, AZ 85701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2617			
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/855	,971	OMACHI, TAKAO				
		Examir	ner	Art Unit				
		Jade O		2617				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time mafter SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ATE OF THIS COMMUNITY of this community be available under the provisions at the street of this community of the street o	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) file	d on <i>15 May 2001</i>						
2a) ☐ This action	· ·	2b)⊠ This action is						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clair	ms							
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>2</u>	4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-6,8-12,15,17 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	;							
10)⊠ The drawir Applicant n Replaceme	ication is objected to by thing(s) filed on 15 May 2001 may not request that any objected to declaration is objected to	is/are: a) acception to the drawing (so the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. Security of the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	rson's Patent Drawing Review (F		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclo Paper No(s)/Mail [sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Date <u>8/17/01</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/855,971

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 8/17/01 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2-6, 8-12, 14, 15, 17, and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities: Each contains the term "Claim," which should not be capitalized within the body of a claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Wilkins*. (US Pat. No. 5,466,919).

As to claim 1, Wilkins discloses a system for transmitting and receiving commercial messages ("CM") and/or advertisements comprising a broadcast station terminal, which

Application/Control Number: 09/855,971

transmits a plurality of compressed audiovisual signals (i.e., scaled-down and synthesized

images/voices). The system also comprises a transmitting device used to modulate said

audiovisual signal and a receiving terminal, which automatically selects a commercial message

based upon a subscriber's profile. Lastly, the transmitted CM's contain identification

information, such as that described at Column 11, Lines 27-38. (Abstract; Col. 2, Ln. 44-53;

Col. 8, Ln. 42-61; Col. 9, Ln. 13-19; Col. 6, Ln. 43-67; col. 17, Ln. 14-22; Col. 9, Ln. 32-40;

Col. 10, Ln. 1-11; Col. 11, Ln. 27-38). Accordingly, Wilkins anticipates each and every

limitation of claim 1.

Claim 13 corresponds to the system claim 1. Thus, it is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,971 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

4. Claims 2, 4-6, 7-12, 14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wilkins* in view of *Wachob*. (US Pat. No. 5,155,591).

Claim 2 recites the system of claim 1, and further limitations too numerous to recite herein (refer to claim sheet). As to claim 2, *Wilkins* discloses all limitations of claim 1, but fails to specifically recite the limitations of claim 2. However, within the same field of endeavor, *Wachob* discloses a similar system wherein the receiving terminal (i.e., set top box) transmits CM/Identification information to the headend (i.e., advertising terminal) in order to provide statistical data. (Abstract; Col. 1, Ln. 39-55; Col. 2, Ln. 43-49; Col. 8, Ln. 17-66; Col. 10, Ln. 27-43). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in this art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the systems of *Wilkins* and *Wachob* in order to provide a system which maintains real-time records of demographic characteristics of subscribers and the programs they watch.

As to claim 4, *Wilkins* further discloses the system is capable of switching between alternate commercials (i.e., cutting) and capable of two-way transmission, thereby allowing communication of certain data back to the headend (i.e., advertiser terminal). (Col. 6, Ln. 37-42; Col. 8, Ln. 4-40; Col. 11, Ln. 27-39). Moreover, the system also inherently expands the transmitted data because it can be compressed before transmission. (as discussed under the rejection of claim 1). If the data is compressed, it must be decompressed (i.e., expanded) before it can be displayed. The remainder of the limitations are either inherent (such as the speaker) or were encompassed within claim 1. Accordingly, the combined systems of *Wilkins* and *Wachob* disclose all limitations of claim 4.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,971 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

As to claim 5, *Wilkins* further teaches the system can also be controlled via a user's manual input. (Col. 10, Ln. 26-40). The remainder of Claim 5's limitations are encompassed within Claim 1. Accordingly, the combined systems of *Wilkins* and *Wachob* disclose all limitations of claim 5.

Claim 6 is encompassed within the limitations of Claim 2. Thus, it is analyzed and rejected as discussed therein.

The limitations of Claim 7 are combinations of limitations recited in Claims 1 and 5. Thus, it is analyzed and rejected as discussed therein.

Claim 16 corresponds to claim 7. Thus, it is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed.

The limitations of Claims 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 correspond to the limitations of Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, respectively. Thus, each is analyzed and rejected as discussed therein.

The limitations of Claim 14 are combinations of limitations recited in Claims 1, 4 and 6.

Thus, it is analyzed and rejected as discussed therein.

The limitations of Claim 17 are combinations of limitations recited in Claims 1, 4 and 6.

Thus, it is analyzed and rejected as discussed therein.

Claim 18 corresponds to claim 3. Thus, it is analyzed and rejected as discussed therein.

5. Claims 3 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkins.

Claim 3 recites the system of claim 1, further comprising limitations too numerous to recite herein. (refer to claim sheet). As to claim 3, *Wilkins* further discloses transmitting digital CM/identification information within the vertical blanking interval ("VBI"). (Col. 2, Ln. 31-40;

Art Unit: 2617

Col. 6, Ln. 49-58; Col. 8, Ln. 43-55). Moreover, in digital transmission, it is inherent to transmit data in packets.

Regarding the limitation addressing analog transmission, the Examiner takes Official Notice that, at the time of Applicant's invention, it was notoriously well-know in the art to utilize analog transmission. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in this art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the system of Wilkins to also include analog transmission, thereby enabling the system to be available to a broader range of subscribers. [Note: At Col. 6, Ln. 49-58, one could argue that analog transmission is discussed because Wilkins specifically states the system also includes "future digital video systems." Therefore, one could infer the system, in general, is addressing analog transmissions.]

Claim 15 corresponds to the system claim 3. Thus, it is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6. disclosure.
 - a. Dedrick (US Pat. No. 5,717,923) discloses a system for dynamic customization of electronic information.
 - b. Hite et al (US Pat. No. 5,774,170) disclose a system for delivering targeted ads to consumers.
 - Seidman et al (US Pat. No. 6,298,482) disclose a system for 2-way digital c. multimedia broadcast.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,971

Art Unit: 2617

d. Maillard et al (US Pat. No. 6,807,675) disclose a system which selects a viewer's

profile.

Picco et al (US Pat. No. 6,029,045) disclose a system for inserting local content e.

into programming content.

f. Watson (US Pat. No. 4,625,235) discloses a system for remote control switching

of television sources.

Gerace (US Pat. No. 5,848,396) discloses a system for determining behavioral g.

profiles of customers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jade O. Laye whose telephone number is (571) 272-7303. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon. 7:30am-4, Tues. 7:30-2, W-Fri. 7:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner: Jade O. Laye

August 3, 2005.

Page 7

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600